

Popular morality accepts
new threats that it is directed
to be.

1) Bluffs (as in the 1st)

But: Humble?

(intent?)

or 2) Remington (as in the 2nd - Remington)

and (less assuredly)

3) ^{a)} ~~intended~~ ^{b)} ~~to be~~ ^{c)} ~~very~~ ^{d)} ~~critical~~ ^{e)} ~~as~~
to be, to be very critical as
intending to be very critical as

Catch perhaps understood this,
for critical is being critical; the critical
(b) was unrealistic. They also reject
(B) (2). That leaves (1) -

only analysis of its risks & bad
effects. ("If unrealistic for critical then
to date no known attacks - only not unrealistic
to date major attacks known in the WWII in Europe." Not unrealistic.)

Vol. 2 (2) Previous:

Answer: 63. 192

1. One new treat a brand,

a meaning of life, for

"changed, under solution, and heating"

Conduct with

Cuba II

|| [Fly under-estimate: this loss of control of "cycle of (actual) under... see K to VCK, Friday night...

2. Pass over, v. c. Joseph

newly [?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?]

Like CBS, continuing to rise to 500,000, in 1965.
or for the JFK, 1961; the cost, with new ^{bullet} 200,000, or 500

But some have been only Fiddlers;

Delivered to the ... 1) ...

break down, as far as possible.

and for i - even we get in ∂ initial

(Font Sample).

From Hills in the "un-land area" opposite

England & France to stay on the left of Poland.

Feb. 1, 1911 to June 1,

See Reports for similar cases of cases

During the winter visiting Cor. N. Lopez, 1969

VN WAR III!

~~2150~~ UK IN FALKLANDS!

16 Sept 82

DE Project

(see Monland, FS)

1. Analyze US Strategy as

2) a structure/system of Threats
(Trends) (Money) C/A, (CSR)
(RDF, FC, FS...) (no SALT; CW)
BUDGET; PREEMPTION; CD

(Do any in Reagan Admin want any
actual content? Demo?

Central America?
ME?

" any use of nukes? (Demo?)

" any use of sub nukes?

~~What is the role~~

Relig in various forms of "Madman Theory."

(Open up N's real attitude on relation of

nukes to deterrence: Hersh)

HYP: ~~THE~~ ^{US} LEADERSHIP (MAYBE BOTH) HAS BORROWED HITLER'S
ETHICS; HIS "MADMAN" STRATEGY; AND NOW (US) HIS "NO RETREAT" BULL,
BUNKER/SCORCHED EARTH!

(2. What is real role of: a) Weapons labels.
b) MIC? (vs Financial)
c) Mil. Keynesianism:
High-Tech (VC).
(Realist has a "high-tech" quality
for them; like vision of Kushner; day,
kill, fatalism (Officer?)

3. How much is "Pol. Econ. of Core of Hegemony" — to
control allies (Soviet, NC, ...)

to what it was thought to be in 1960
(large numbers of soft missiles on both
sides.)

(I didn't see FU/FS aspect of "our" (my!)
plans then — or rather, ^{not} FS, and its relation
to FU, and not frequency & importance of FU threats).

I was trying to "reform" what I
thought were 2nd-stage plans — in the
process, making the better FS plans

I didn't pay attention to this, because I
didn't imagine anyone (except HK!)

— Nothing new about US FS threat.
or pre-emption — or beliefs in
a mutual perception that

(What is new is realizing of it! — thanks
to US and SC policies on CF and our
control in last 20 years!

it was thought to be in 1960
nodes of soft mind on both
)

didn't see FU/FS aspect of "on" (my!)
the — or rather, ^{not} FS, and its relation
and not frequency & importance of FU threats).
was trying to "reform" what I
= were 2nd-stage plans — in the
s, making the better FS plans

but pay attention to this, because I
imagine anyone (except HK!)

they now about US FS threat.
pre-emption — or leading in
mutual perpetual threat

it is now is realizing of it! — that
at 50 years in CF and one
is least 20 years!

16 Sept 82

- Answer:

- Why is my expectation still relevant?

1 [What we believed reality to be ^{in 1960-61} has
not changed — it has finally come true!
And those who did me the are now
on top! (Perle - director of ANW

Luttwak, Gray — " " HK;

Naig — associate of " ;

Rosen — in CIA

Schles — Dep Sec.

Schles — still around,

Cohn — N. ^{started on SIDP...} ~~but~~

- This view of how to relate to this reality
have not changed.

(even N was here, in)

- No new weapons have appeared (e.g.,
space, lasers, new ASW...) (CM!)

only ^{SU} hardening (already on both sides, 1967-

- and MIRV + accuracy (+ US ASW!) which